FOIAb3b

The Inquiring Fotographer

By JIMMY JEMAIL

THE NEWS will pay \$10 for each question accepted for this column. Today's award goes to Edward Rennick, 231 Main St., Staten Island 7.

THE QUESTION

In the event of nuclear war, who will be safer, civilians in large cities or men in the armed services?

WHERE ASKED Various spots

THE ANSWERS Gen. A. C. McAuliffe, USA, re-



the desirability
of a particular
city as a target.
New York is an
obvious target and I strongly
support Gov. Rockefeller's plan
for shelters."

Comdr. Claude C. Vickrey,

USNR, retired, E. 74th St.: "The new concept of total destruction in war is the same as that of Genghis Khan. Civilians, generally, will be safer than the armed forces, except in strategic and industrial areas



ike New York and Detroit, where hey will be in greater danger."

Maj. Gen. Robert E. Condon,
USAF, retired,
Civil Defense



USAF, retired, Civil Defense director: "Men in the armed forces unless shelters in cities are adequate. Gen. MacArthur recently said: "The concept of war has changed. The prime objective is the destruction of

he enemy's civilian population ather than the defeat of his rmed forces." **CPYRGHT**

Adm. Arthur W. Radford,

ters are built in large cities, civilians could be more vulnerable than our armed forces, depending out the desirability of a particular city as a target. New York is an and I strongly ckefeller's plan



Gen. Leslie R. Groves, warting



c o m m a n d e r
Manhattan Project: "We've
just reverted to
ancient times
when entire
populations committed suicide
in the face of
defeat People
in cities will be
in equal danger
during nuclear
war. The most

disciplined, such as ex-service men and their families, will survive."

Col. I. Filbert Cranford, USAF, retin d, former-ly of CIA: Men in the armed



